

#### REMARKS


By this Amendment, Claims 1-4 and 9-10 have been canceled. In addition, the Abstract has been amended in response to the Examiner's objection.

In the June 6, 2005 Office Action, the Examiner rejected Claims 1 and 9 as anticipated by U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0067264 to Soehnlen ("the '264 Publication"). In addition, the Examiner rejected Claims 1-4 and 9-10 as obvious over U.S. Patent No. 6,050,622 to Gustafson ("the '622 Patent") in view of the '264 Publication. The Examiner also objected to the Abstract and provided suggested revisions for overcoming his objection. Finally, the Examiner rejected Claim 4 as indefinite on the basis that the phrase "magnetic configuration" is not clearly defined. Claims 5-8 were allowed.

Since all of the rejected claims have been canceled and since the Abstract has been amended in response to the Examiner's objection, Applicants respectfully submit that the present application is in condition for allowance, and request the allowance of Claims 4-8. Enclosed with this Amendment is an Amster, Rothstein & Ebenstein check in the amount of \$60 for the \$60 small entity fee for a one month extension of time. No other fees are believed to be necessary for the entry of this Amendment. However, authorization is given hereby to charge any fees necessary to preserve the pendency of the subject application to Deposit Account No. 01-1785.

Respectfully submitted

AMSTER, ROTHSTEIN & EBENSTEIN LLP  
Attorneys for Applicants  
90 Park Avenue  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 336-8000

By:   
John S. Economou  
Registration No.: 38,439

Dated: New York, New York  
September 29, 2005